


Certificate of Mailing under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop Petition, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on 2/4, 2005


Dennis Ditzenger



CUSTOMER NUMBER

24024

H.D.
PETITION
WD
ABNIZ
3/24/05

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:	Fought	:	Examiner: A.M. M. Boehler
		:	
Serial No.:	10/044,826	:	Art Unit: 3611
		:	
Filed:	October 19, 2001	:	Confirmation No.: 8055
		:	
For:	WHEELCHAIR SUSPENSION HAVING PIVOTAL MOTOR MOUNT	:	Attorney Docket No.: 12873/04233
		:	

RENEWED PETITION TO WITHDRAW THE HOLDING OF ABANDONMENT
UNDER 37 CFR 1.181

Mail Stop Petition
Assistant Commissioner of Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

RECEIVED

FEB 14 2005

GROUP 3600

Dear Sir:

This Renewed Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment Under 37 CFR 1.181 is being sent in response to the Decision on Petition to Withdraw the Holding of Abandonment mailed January 19, 2005. Applicant contended in its Petition mailed August 12, 2004, that a reply to an Office Action was timely filed on October 29, 2003. In the Decision, the Examiner dismissed the Petition due to the fact that it did not include a statement under 37 CFR 1.8(b)(3) attesting to the personal knowledge of transmitting the response of October 29, 2003 made by the person who signed the Certificate of Transmission. Included herein is a statement of fact made by Nenad Pejic, the individual who signed the Certificate of Transmission of the October 29, 2003 response. Accordingly, Applicant believes that all of the requirements of 37 CFR 1.8(b) have now been met and Applicant respectfully requests that the Office consider the reply of October 29, 2003 as timely filed.

Applicant has also attached a copy of the response transmitted by facsimile on October

29, 2003 including a Certificate of Facsimile signed by Nenad Pejic along with the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission from the United States Patent and Trademark Office indicating that the response was received on October 29, 2003. Applicant has also attached a copy of its Request Under 37 CFR 1.8(b) To Consider Reply To Office Action To Be Timely Filed mailed January 15, 2004 along with a return receipt postcard indicating that this Request was received by the Office on January 20, 2004. Finally, Applicant has attached a copy of its Request Under 37 CFR 1.8(b) To Consider Reply To Office Action To Be Timely Filed August 12, 2004 along with a return receipt postcard indicating that this Request was received by the Office on August 16, 2004.

Applicant does not believe any fee is associated with a request under 37 CFR 1.8(b). If this is not the case, the Patent Office is hereby authorized to charge any related fee to Deposit Account No. 03-0172. If there are any questions regarding this Petition, please telephone the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: Feb. 7, 2005

By: Nenad Pejic

Nenad Pejic(Reg. No. 37,415)
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
800 Superior Avenue
Cleveland, Ohio 44114-2688
(216) 622-8835